

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	18
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	45
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	57



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Desjardins aims to foster an economic development respectful of people and the environment. We believe that economic players have a responsibility toward their communities and their territories, and must act accordingly. This is why our investment solutions seek to promote business practices and corporate governance that are respectful of communities and the environment. As a manager of investment products, the primary responsibility of Desjardins Investments Inc. is to safeguard the medium- and long-term interests of holders, while seeking to grow their capital by investing in issuing companies with good potential for profitability.

Our overall responsible investment approach is described in our publicly available Desjardins Funds Responsible Investment (RI) Policy. Our responsible investment strategies are implemented at two key times:

- When selecting securities, to exclude or choose companies based on ESG-related criteria.
- When monitoring and managing investments, in order to encourage companies to improve their practices regarding ESG issues.

The RI Policy applies to all Desjardins Funds.

The approaches will vary, however: Desjardins SocieTerra Funds, which are RI funds, are managed using ESG objectives. SocieTerra Portfolios benefit from the implementation strategies applicable to each Desjardins SocieTerra Fund in which they invest. Traditional Desjardins Funds make use of responsible investment strategies, but with lower requirements regarding certain aspects.

Our stewardship approach is described in our Desjardins Funds Stewardship Policy which details how we intend to apply stewardship levers in order to protect medium and long term interest of holders, and beyond, the collectivity and the planet.

Our structured product line is also governed by a responsible investment policy tailored to the specificities of this product line.

Desjardins intends to remain a recognized leader in responsible investment. To do so, we make the following commitments:

- Maintaining exemplary practices and staying abreast of changes in ESG issues.



- Annual public reporting of our activities and results in terms of shareholder engagement by making use of best practices, notably the PRI framework.
- Contributing to the training of dealer representatives.
- Informing the general public, including Desjardins Funds holders, and raising awareness about what responsible investment is.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Desjardins Investments has taken important steps during the reporting year to continuously improve our responsible investment practices.

We made commitments and focused on issues that, beyond investment value, have a systemic impact on society and the planet.

- We set out a net zero ambition for Desjardins Investments and signed up to the Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative
- We committed to understanding our biodiversity risks and impacts and became a signatory of the Finance for Biodiversity Pledge
- We endorsed the PRI Advance initiative and aim to deepen our approach as regards human rights

We worked on enhancing the application of RI approaches in our assets under management to better reflect our expertise and engagement towards responsible investment:

- We published our first Desjardins Funds Stewarsdhip Policy, and updated our Proxy Voting Policy
- We defined and assessed the level of ESG integration across all Desjardins Funds
- We revised our ESG due diligence questionnaire used to assess the ESG performance of our external portfolio managers
- We made improvements to proxy voting execution and disclosure

We also took action to equip Desjardins Investments with a best-in-class RI governance.

The creation of the RI Steering Committee will increase the accountability and efficiency of our RI decision-making.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In the coming years, and in line with the expectations of our members and clients, we will continue to incorporate ESG factors into investment decision-making. Furthermore, we will continue our work focused on contributing to sustainable outcomes through our responsible investment activities, with special attention given to our three thematic priorities: climate change, biodiversity and human rights. We will also continue to dedicate substantial effort in investor and advisor education to deliver on our ambition to be the Canadian financial institution most involved in responsible investment education and democratization.



Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Sébastien Vallée

Position

President and CEO

Organisation's Name

Desjardins Investment Inc.



'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

> B

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	30	06	2023



SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research

US\$ 33,468,080,822.00

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)



advisory only

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5	CORE	003	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	>10-50%
(B) Fixed income	0%	>10-50%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	>0-10%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	>0-10%	>0-10%

(J) Off-balance sheet - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:

Derivatives

(J) Off-balance sheet - (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM - Specify:

Derivatives, Money Market, Cash



ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

		(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(4	A) Active	>75%	>10-50%	>10-50%	>0-10%	0%
	3) assive	>0-10%	>10-50%	>0-10%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	>75%	0%
(B) Listed equity - passive	0%	>75%
(C) Fixed income - active	>75%	>0-10%
(D) Fixed income - passive	>75%	>0-10%
(H) Hedge funds	0%	>75%



MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

>75%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
007	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(2) >0 to 10%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(2) >0 to 10%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(2) >0 to 10%
(D) Fixed income – securitised	(1) 0%
(I) Hedge funds	(1) 0%



STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Fixed income - active	(4) Fixed income - passive	(8) Hedge funds
(A) Yes, through internal staff					
(B) Yes, through service providers					
(C) Yes, through external managers	V		Ø		
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	O	•	0	•	•

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 9 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 9	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation have direct investments in listed equity across your hedge fund strategies?

o (A) Yes

⊚ (B) No



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

	
<u>_</u>	
7	
0	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active	(12) 100%
----------------------------	-----------



STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting

(B) Listed equity - passive

We don't actively conduct stewardship or specify stewardship expectations for these assets, but we are aware that stewardship is conducted by these external managers. Those investments represent a small percentage of our assets under management and are mainly ETFs selected to provide broad market exposure in certain funds or portfolios, either for tactical exposure or for their low cost advantage.

(D) Fixed income - passive

We don't actively conduct stewardship or specify stewardship expectations for these assets, but we are aware that stewardship is conducted by these external managers. Those investments represent a small percentage of our assets under management and are mainly ETFs selected to provide broad market exposure in certain funds or portfolios, either for tactical exposure or for their low cost advantage.

(H) Hedge funds

We don't actively conduct stewardship or specify stewardship expectations for these assets, but we are aware that stewardship is conducted by these external managers. If this asset class were to become a more significant portion of our assets under management, we would aim to adopt best practices for stewardship in this asset class.

(Proxy) voting

(M) Listed equity - passive

We don't actively specify stewardship (including proxy voting) expectations for these assets, but we are aware that stewardship is conducted by these external managers. Those investments represent a small percentage of our assets under management and are mainly ETFs selected to provide broad market exposure in certain funds or portfolios, either for tactical exposure or for their low cost advantage.



ESG INCORPORATION

EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	О
(B) Listed equity - passive	0	•
(C) Fixed income - active	•	О
(D) Fixed income - passive	0	•
(H) Hedge funds	0	•

EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers?



(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers

(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	0	•
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	0	•
(H) Hedge funds	0	•

EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	0	•
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	0	•
(H) Hedge funds	0	•



ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12- 14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions.

Externally managed

(Q) Listed equity – passive

We don't systematically incorporate ESG factors or specify expectations for these assets, although we have selected funds who respect our ESG-related exclusions for use in our labelled responsible investment products. Those investments represent a small percentage of our assets under management and are mainly ETFs selected to provide broad market exposure in certain funds or portfolios, either for tactical exposure or for their low cost advantage.

(S) Fixed income - passive

We don't systematically incorporate ESG factors or specify expectations for these assets, although we have selected funds who respect our ESG-related exclusions for use in our labelled responsible investment products. Those investments represent a small percentage of our assets under management and are mainly ETFs selected to provide broad market exposure in certain funds or portfolios, either for tactical exposure or for their low cost advantage.

(W) Hedge funds

We don't specify ESG-related expectations for these assets. If this asset class were to become a more significant portion of our assets under management, we would aim to adopt best practices for stewardship in this asset class.

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable

Provide the percentage of AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>10-50%

- o (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- $\circ\hspace{0.2cm}$ (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

- o (A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications
- (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	O
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	•	0	0



0

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- o (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☑ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☑ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☑ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☑ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- \square (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here



o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☑ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☑ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- \square (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

 $\ \square$ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

☑ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors) Add link:



https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors) Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/stewardship-policy.pdf

(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting Add link:

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/droit vote en.pdf

o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- \Box (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- ☑ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- **☑** (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- **☑** (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☑ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship



\Box (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment	nt decision-making
and vice versa	

☐ (I) Other

o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☑ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- o (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/droit vote en.pdf

- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme



RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(6) >90% to <100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

	AUM coverage
(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights	(1) for all of our AUM



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

☑ (B) Fixed income

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%



- o (8) >70% to 80%
- o (9) >80% to 90%
- o (10) >90% to <100%
- **(11)** 100%

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☑ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

The CEO and the Head of Responsible Investment of Desjardins Investments are both formally responsible for implementing the firm's responsible investment strategy and policies.

☑ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent

Specify:

Desjardins Investments has created a Steering Committee dedicated to responsible investment. This Committee is responsible for overseeing the firm's responsible investment strategy and policies and reports directly to Desjardins Investments' Executive Committee. The Head of Investment Solutions of Desjardins Investments is a permanent member of the Responsible Investment Steering Committee.

☑ (D) Head of department, or equivalent

Specify department:

The Head of Responsible Investment of Desjardins Investments leads the firm's Responsible Investment Department and is formally accountable for responsible investment strategy and policy implementation.

o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?



(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	Ø	
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	Ø	
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	Ø	
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	Ø	☑
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)		abla
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold		
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	\square	\square
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	Ø	☑
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	Ø	
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	Ø	☑
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	Ø	☑
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	Ø	✓



0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

0

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

(A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

Our organization has a process for monitoring political involvement and lobbying activities. As part of this process, monthly data is collected from all business lines. The Responsible Investment Department checks on behalf of Desjardins Investments that these activities are in line with our PRI commitments. To date, no activity has been identified as misaligned with our commitment to the PRI Principles.

- o (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

The Responsible Investment Department is responsible for implementing Desjardins Investments' approach to responsible investment on a day-to-day basis. This includes developing our responsible investment policy and associated processes. The Manager Selection and Monitoring Department also implements the approach by monitoring external investment managers' compliance with our responsible investment policy and ESG performance.

☑ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers Specify:



Our external investment managers are responsible for implementing our approach to responsible investment in their respective mandates.

o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

- o (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- o (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- \circ (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation Describe: (Voluntary)
- o (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)



EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

☑ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
\square (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
☑ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
☑ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
☑ (E) Climate-related commitments
☑ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
☐ (G) Human rights—related commitments
☐ (H) Progress towards human rights—related commitments
\square (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
\square (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our ALIM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☑ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above Add link(s):

 $https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/d50-groupe-travail-info-changements-climatiques-GIFCC-2022-e.pdf? \\ resVer=1689614685000$



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

● (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/Annual Report Responsible Investment.pdf

- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☑ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☑ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1



How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☑ (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- **(2)** for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- \Box (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns \Box (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	•	•
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	0	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- o (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

☑ (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes

Select from the below list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases

☑ (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

Select from the below list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- \Box (C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed
- o (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- $\circ\,$ (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- o (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- o (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- \Box (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- ☑ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- $\circ~$ (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

(A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes Add link(s):

http://vds.issproxy.com/SearchPage.php?CustomerID=3564&language=en

- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- o (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- o (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- o (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- o (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- o (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?



(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations

(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale	(2) for a majority of votes	(3) for a minority of votes
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company	(3) for a minority of votes	(3) for a minority of votes
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	0	O
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	0	0

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale - Add link(s):

http://vds.issproxy.com/SearchPage.php?CustomerID=3564&language=en

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?



(1) Listed equity

(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one	Z
(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	Z
(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter	
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director	
(F) Divesting	
(G) Litigation	
(H) Other	
(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

\checkmark	(A)	Joining	or	broadening	an	existing	collaborative	engagement	or	creating	a	new	one

- \square (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- ☑ (C) Not investing
- ☑ (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- ☑ (E) Divesting
- \Box (F) Litigation
- ☐ (G) Other



o (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☑ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- ☑ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- ☑ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups Describe:

Desjardins Investments' Head of Responsible Investment chairs the Investment Funds Institute of Canada (IFIC)'s ESG / Responsible Investment Taskforce, which responds to ESG-related consultations from the Canadian government.

☑ (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative

Describe:

We chaired the Regulators Roundtable at the annual conference of the Responsible Investment Association of Canada - several topics were discussed during the preparation to the rountable.

☐ (E) Other methods



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- \square (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- \square (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- \odot (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

Explain why:

We did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers but we are considering doing so in the future.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☑ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We undertook a qualitative analysis of climate-related risks affecting our investments, both within our standard planning horizon through an organization-wide assessment, and beyond our standard planning horizon through our own (Desjardins Investments) assessment. Results were similar for both timeframes.

For climate-related transition risks, we identified that risks stemming from policy and regulation (changes in energy policy and economic incentives, as well as disclosure and greenwashing), technological evolution (changes in energy production, storage and distribution) and members and clients' behaviors (orientation towards climate finance, stigmatization of carbon intensive activities) were the highest for our investments in the energy sector.

Indeed, such risks could lead to write-offs or early retirement of existing assets in this sector. We also identified that uncertainty in market movements could pose a moderate risk to the financial sector.

With regards to physical risks, we found that the industrials sector could be moderately affected given our exposure to specific regions impacted by droughts and floods. We also identified that the energy sector could be somewhat affected by physical risks including wildfires, water stress and storms.



Overall, there are many opportunities arising from the transition, such as improving resource efficiency, shifting to less carbon intensive energy sources and developing new products and services. Specifically for the IT sector, we have identified climate-related opportunities stemming from legal & market events, as well as technology shifts. This sector could benefit from changing customers preferences, stigmatization of carbon intensive sectors and substitution of existing products and services for low-carbon alternatives.

☑ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We undertook a qualitative analysis of climate-related risks affecting our investments, both within our standard planning horizon through an organization-wide assessment, and beyond our standard planning horizon through our own (Desjardins Investments) assessment. Results were similar for both timeframes.

For climate-related transition risks, we identified that risks stemming from policy and regulation (changes in energy policy and economic incentives, as well as disclosure and greenwashing), technological evolution (changes in energy production, storage and distribution) and members and clients' behaviors (orientation towards climate finance, stigmatization of carbon intensive activities) were the highest for our investments in the energy sector.

Indeed, such risks could lead to write-offs or early retirement of existing assets in this sector. We also identified that uncertainty in market movements could pose a moderate risk to the financial sector.

With regards to physical risks, we found that the industrials sector could be moderately affected given our exposure to specific regions impacted by droughts and floods. We also identified that the energy sector could be somewhat affected by physical risks including wildfires, water stress and storms.

Overall, there are many opportunities arising from the transition, such as improving resource efficiency, shifting to less carbon intensive energy sources and developing new products and services. Specifically for the IT sector, we have identified climate-related opportunities stemming from legal & market events, as well as technology shifts. This sector could benefit from changing customers preferences, stigmatization of carbon intensive sectors and substitution of existing products and services for low-carbon alternatives.

(C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:



The Desjardins SocieTerra Funds and Portfolios use several strategies to take part in the transition to a low-carbon economy and leverage climate opportunities. These focus on: considering environmental issues in all investments, low-carbon strategies, investments that focus on environmental solutions and implementing constructive dialogue with businesses to improve their practices. The SocieTerra line does not invest in companies that generate a major portion of their revenue from the extraction or production of oil, natural gas or thermal coal specialized oil and natural gas transportation (eg. pipelines) oil refining or coal-based energy production. In the latter case, investments might be considered if the company publicly shows a commitment to reducing, within a reasonable time horizon, the portion of coal in its energy mix for the purpose of energy transition.

The SocieTerra line also includes thematic funds with a focus on environmental solutions, such as the Desjardins SocieTerra Cleantech Fund, which only invests in companies that earn at least 50% of their income from environmental solutions. Moreover, in 2023, Desjardins Investments has set the ambition to reach net zero emissions by 2050 for all funds and portfolios, in line with the objectives of the Paris Agreement. To lay out the foundations of our net zero strategy, Desjardins Investments has become a signatory of the Net Zero Asset Manager initiative, specifically committing to:

Working in partnership with members and clients on decarbonization goals, consistent with an ambition to reach net zero emissions by 2050 or sooner across all assets under management.

Set an interim target for the proportion of assets to be managed in line with the attainment of net zero emissions by 2050 or sooner.

Review our interim target at least every five years, with a view to ratcheting up the proportion of AUM covered until 100% of assets are included.

To read the full commitment, please follow this link: https://www.netzeroassetmanagers.org/commitment/. Desjardins Investments is currently working on developing such interim targets and aims to publish them in 2024.

• (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above preindustrial levels?

\square (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Sc
--

- ☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- \square (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- ☑ (D) Yes, using other scenarios Specify:



When conducting our qualitative climate risk assessment, we used the Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) scenarios to apply our findings to three types of scenarios: Hot House World, Disorderly Transition and Orderly Transition. Both the Orderly and Disorderly transition scenarios considered the average temperature rise reaching below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. Using such scenarios helped us identify changes and similarities in how climate-related risks materialize under different circumstances. Physical risks as identified in PGS41 were found to be the highest in a Hot House World scenario, with similarities under the two other scenarios, while transition risks as identified in PGS41 were found to be the highest in a disorderly transition scenario.

• (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

☑ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

Desjardins Investments' own process to identify and assess climate-related risks is built on a qualitative assessment of such risks. We start by assessing significant geographic and sectorial exposures of our portfolios as a whole, and by best-selling portfolio individually.

We then conduct research on the physical and transition risks (impact, exposure) affecting these specific sectors and geographies our investments are significantly exposed to.

This allows us to build our first heatmaps for physical and transition risks relative to these sectors, by geography. While our first heatmap for physical risks assumes a Hot House World scenario, the first one for transition risks considers a Disorderly transition scenario. At this stage, we also compare our heatmaps with those of peers' TCFD reports.

We then decline our heatmap for the Hot House World scenario into Disorderly and Orderly transition scenarios using NGFS & Climate Analytics' Climate Lab Data Explorer for physical risks.

We do the same for transition risks, starting from the heatmap for the Disorderly transition scenarios using NGFS assumptions to create heatmaps of transition risks under an Orderly transition and a Hot House World.

The final heatmaps are shared and discussed with Desjardins Investments' Responsible Investing Team, the Sustainable Development and Responsible Finance team (organization-wide team), and the Working group on climate change risks (organization-wide 1st line of defence).

These heatmaps allow us to identify which climate-related risks could affect our investments, and assess the level at which they could (low, moderate, high).

Separately, we participate to the organization-wide climate risk assessment through workshops and by sharing our findings with the sustainable finance team in charge of this assessment.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management



Desjardins Investments participates in the organization-wide climate-related risks assessment organized by the Sustainable Development and Responsible Finance team as well as the Risk Management team to ensure adequate integration of climate risks in overall risk management.

Moreover, oversight for ESG risks and climate is now formally included in Desjardins Investments' Board of Directors mandate.

☑ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

Subsequent steps to identifying and assessing climate-related risks depend on the conclusions of the assessment. On an annual basis, Desjardins Investments will review geographic and sectoral exposures to include any sector or geography that it is significantly exposed to that was not included in previous assessments. When risks identified are considered to be material, they will be escalated in the governance structure and recommandations will be made to appropriately manage these risks. Desjardins Investments also sends out an ESG due diligence questionnaire to asset managers to collect information on how they manage climate-related risks. If deemed necessary and according to their responses, Desjardins Investments could engage with asset managers to better understand or enhance their climate risk management for our investments.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Desjardins Investments has adopted a guideline on managing climate-related risks to ensure adequate integration of such risks in overall risk management. According to this guideline, if necessary, approaches to manage or mitigate climate-related risks may include exclusions, engagement, diversification, or might stem from an organization-wide initiative. Practices to support better climate-related risk management would go through Desjardins Investments' Responsible Investment Steering Committee, which, among other functions, oversees the sound management of ESG and climate-related risks. Desjardins Investments' Board of Directors is ultimately responsible for overseeing climate-related risks.

o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

☑ (A) Exposure to physical risk

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

☑ (B) Exposure to transition risk

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☐ (C) Internal carbon price
- ☑ (D) Total carbon emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☑ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity



- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/Annual Report Responsible Investment.pdf

☑ (F) Avoided emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/Annual Report Responsible Investment.pdf

☑ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- \square (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals

(I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.fondsdesjardins.com/information/Annual_Report_Responsible_Investment.pdf

- \Box (J) Other metrics or variables
- o (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

 $https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/d50-groupe-travail-info-changements-climatiques-GIFCC-2022-e.pdf? \\ resVer=1679600592000$

☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions



- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - **(2)** Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

 $https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/d50-groupe-travail-info-changements-climatiques-GIFCC-2022-e.pdf? \\ resVer=1679600592000$

- \square (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
- o (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

 \square (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☑ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
\square (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
\square (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for
Institutional Investors
\square (E) The EU Taxonomy
\square (F) Other relevant taxonomies
☑ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
☑ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight
core conventions
\square (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
\square (J) Other international framework(s)
□ (K) Other regional framework(s)



o (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☐ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☑ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☑ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☑ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☑ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (G) Other method
- o (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

OVERALL APPROACH

EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
Organisation		
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	☑	\square
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)		
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability		
People and Culture		
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives		
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment		Ø
Investment Process		



(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process		
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	Ø	Z
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	Ø	
Stewardship		
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Ø	
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	Ø	
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	Ø	
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	Ø	
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	Ø	Z
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	Ø	
(O) Results of stewardship activities	Ø	
Performance and Reporting		
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	Ø	



(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø	
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	o	0

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

- \square (A) Incorporation of their responsible investment policy into advisory services
- \square (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- \square (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- $\hfill\Box$ (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- ☐ (E) Other
- o (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
- **●** (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

SELECTION

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- o (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year



o (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 5	CORE	SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

During the reporting year, what responsible investment aspects did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

Organisation

☑ (A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

People and Culture

 \square (D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

Investment Process



☑ (F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

Performance and Reporting

☑ (I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- ☑ (J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- (K) We did not review and evaluate any of the above responsible investment aspects when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 6	CORE	OO 8, SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	4

During the reporting year, which aspects of the stewardship approach did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

- $\ \square$ (A) The alignment of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with the investment mandate
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our mandates
 - (2) for a majority of our mandates(3) for a minority of our mandates
- (B) Evidence of how they implemented their stewardship objectives, including the effectiveness of their activities



Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- ☑ (C) Their participation in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- ☑ (D) Details of their engagements with companies or issuers on risks connected to systematic sustainability issues

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- ☑ (E) Details of their engagement activities with policy makers

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- ☑ (F) Their escalation process and the escalation tools included in their policy on stewardship

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- (G) We did not review and evaluate any of the above aspects of the stewardship approach when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

APPOINTMENT

SEGREGATED MANDATES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 8	CORE	OO 5.2, OO 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Segregated mandates	4

Which responsible investment aspects do your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, explicitly include in clauses within your contractual agreements with your external investment managers for segregated mandates?

- ☑ (A) Their commitment to following our responsible investment strategy in the management of our assets Select from dropdown list

 - o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
 - o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☐ (B) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their investment activities
- ☐ (C) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their stewardship activities
- □ (D) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their investment activities



☐ (E) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their stewardship activities
☑ (F) Exclusion list(s) or criteria
Select from dropdown list
⑥ (1) for all of our segregated mandates
o (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
\Box (G) Responsible investment communications and reporting obligations, including stewardship activities and results
\Box (H) Incentives and controls to ensure alignment of interests
\Box (I) Commitments on climate-related disclosure in line with internationally-recognised frameworks such as the TCFD
□ (J) Commitment to respect human rights as defined in the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding
Principles on Business and Human Rights
☐ (K) Their acknowledgement that their appointment is conditional on the fulfilment of their agreed responsible investment
commitments
□ (L) Other
o (M) We do not include responsible investment aspects in clauses within our contractual agreements with external investment
managers for segregated mandates

MONITORING

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	
Organisation		
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	 ✓	
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	☑	☑



	☑
	☑
\square	V



(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)	☑	
Performance and Reporting		
(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)		
(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements		
(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) At least annually	☑	
(B) Less than once a year		
(C) On an ad hoc basis	☑	



STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 13	CORE	OO 8, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' stewardship practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
☑	
☑	



(I) Whether they participated in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives		
(J) Whether they had an active role in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives		
(K) Other		
(L) We did not monitor our external investment managers' stewardship practices during the reporting year	0	0

ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)	
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives		✓	
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review	☑	Ø	
(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified			



(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination		
(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified	Ø	
(F) Other		
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring	0	0

VERIFICATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?

(1) Listed equity (active)		(3) Fixed income (active)		
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process				
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party				
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance				



(D) Other	
(E) We did not verify the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices during the reporting year	•

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- □ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- \square (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☑ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- \Box (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy \Box (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year



INTERNAL AUDIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 4	CORE	OO 21, CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

☑ (A) Policy, governance and strategy

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- (3) Processes and data internally audited

☑ (B) Manager selection, appointment and monitoring

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- **(3) Processes and data internally audited**

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- \square (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

Sections of PRI report reviewed

- **(1)** the entire report
- o (2) selected sections of the report
- \circ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

